- BEATTIE, H. J. Jr. & VER SNYDER, F. L. (1956). Nature, Lond., 178, 208.
- BERGMAN, G. & WAUGH, J. L. T. (1953). Acta Cryst. 6, 93.
- BERGMAN, G. & WAUGH, J. L. T. (1956). Acta Cryst. 9, 214.
- BUSING, W. R., MARTIN, K. O. & LEVY, H. A. (1962). ORFLS, A Fortran Crystallographic Least-Squares Program. Oak Ridge National Lab., Rep. No. ORNL-TM-305, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, U.S.A.
- FLORIO, J. V., RUNDLE, R. E. & SNOW, A. I. (1952). Acta Cryst. 5, 449.
- GLADYSCHEVSKII, E. I., KRIPYAKEVICH, P. I., KUZMA, YU. B. & TESLYUK, M. YU. (1962). Soviet Phys. 6, No. 5, 615.
- COLDISH, E. & MARSH, R. E. (1955). Abstract Annu. Meet. A.C.A. June 27–July 2.
- HELLNER, E. & LAVES, F. (1943). Z. Kristallogr. 105, 134.
- HUME-ROTHERY, W. (1962). Atomic Theory for Students of Metallurgy, p. 306.
- Interatomic Distances (1958). London: The Chemical Society.
- KELLER, D. V. (1958). Ph.D. Thesis, Syracuse Univ., Syracuse, N.Y.
- KLEMM, W. & KINKELACKER, F. (1947). Z. anorg. Chem. 225, 2.
- KRIPYAKEVICH, P. I. & EVDOKIMENKO, V. I. (1962). Kristallographiya, 7, 22.

- MISKELL, C. F. (1948). Master Thesis, Syracuse Univ., Syracuse, N.Y.
- NAGORSEN, G. & WITTE, H. (1953). Z. anorg. Chem. 271, 144.
- NOWOTNY, H. (1942). Z. Metallk. 34, 247.
- RAY, J. P. (1947). Ph.D. Thesis, Syracuse Univ., Syracuse, N.Y.
- SLY, W. G., SHOEMAKER, D. P. & VAN DEN HENDE, J. H. (1962). ERFR-2, Two- and Three-Dimensional Crystallographic Fourier Summation Program for the IBM 7090 Computer, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Mass., U.S.A.
- SPIEGEL, F. X., BARDOS, D. & BECK, P. A. (1963). Trans. Amer. Inst. Min. (metall) Engrs, 227, 575.
- VOSSKÜHLER, H. (1939). Metallwirtschaft, 18, 377.
- WANG, F. E. (1964). Trans. Amer. Inst. Min. (metall) Engrs. In the press.
- WANG, F. E., GILFRICH, J. V., ERNST, D. W. & HUB-BARD, W. M. (1964). Acta Cryst. 17, 931.
- WANG, F. E., KANDA, F. A. & KING, A. J. (1962). J. Phys. Chem. 66, 2142.
- WANG, F. E., KANDA, F. A., MISKELL, C. F. & KING, A. J. (1963). Acta Cryst. 16, 697.
- WANG, F. E., KING, A. J. & KANDA, F. A. (1962). J. Phys. Chem. 66, 2138.
- WEIBKE, F. & SCHMIDT, W. (1940). Z. Elektrochem. 44, 357.
- ZEEK, W. C. (1955). Ph.D. Thesis, Syracuse Univ., Syracuse, N.Y.

Acta Cryst. (1965). 18, 31

## Layer Structure Polytypism Among Niobium and Tantalum Selenides

BY BRUCE E. BROWN AND DONALD J. BEERNTSEN

Research Division, Allis-Chalmers Mfg. Co., Milwaukee, Wisconsin, U.S.A.

(Received 3 February 1964)

Single crystals of several varieties of tantalum and niobium selenide layer structures have been grown by vapor transport methods. Two two-layer forms have the NbS<sub>2</sub> structure, a three-layer form is like rhombohedral MoS<sub>2</sub>, and two four-layer forms are new structures with a selenium stacking sequence of AABBAACC..., and AABABBAB..., respectively. These have been refined by least-squares methods. Refinement adjusts the *c* coordinates of the selenium atoms 0.1 Å away from positions in which each atom sheet is spaced at equal intervals along *z*. This adjustment moves the selenium atoms away from the interleaving metal atoms.

The trigonal prism coordination units in the niobium and tantalum compounds tend to be slightly shorter in the z direction and longer in the x direction than similar molybdenum coordination units.

#### Introduction

The disulfides of molybdenum, tungsten and niobium (Jellinek, 1963) and the diselenides of molybdenum (James & Lavik, 1963) and tungsten (Glemser, Sauer & König, 1948) are layer structures in which each cation\* has as nearest neighbors six anions arranged at the apices of a trigonal prism. Disulfides of tantalum have been reported in which cations have trigonal prismatic and/or octahedral anion coordination (Jellinek, 1963). All of these structures can be considered as two-dimensionally infinite 'layer molecules' within which the bonding is quite strong. These 'layer molecules' are stacked in a close-packed manner and the bonding between them is weak.

The common notation used in the description of close packed structures, *i.e.* a hexagonal close

<sup>\*</sup> It is recognized that there is probably a high degree of covalency in these compounds; nevertheless, the terms cation and anion will be used to designate the two species involved.

packing as  $ABABAB \dots$ , a cubic close packing as  $ABCABCABC \dots$ , etc., is applicable to the description of trigonal prism layer structures. A trigonal prism coordination layer of two directly superposed anion sheets is designated by a double letter such as AA, BB, or CC. These double anion layers are articulated to other double layers by the normal geometry of close packing. We can develop sequences such as the two-layer sequence  $AABBAABB \dots$ , or the three-layer sequence  $AABBAABB \dots$ , or the four layer sequence  $AABBAACCAABBCC \dots$ , or the four layer sequence  $AABBAACCAABBAACC \dots$ , and so on.

A similar notation using lower case letters is used to designate cation locations in a given sequence. Three cation sites, a, b, and c, can be distinguished in a trigonal prism layer sequence whose x and ycoordinates in the layer plane are identical with the x and y coordinates of A, B, and C anion sites. In a single trigonal prism layer of two anion sheets two of these three cation sites exist but both sites may not simultaneously be occupied. In an AA layer, the anions fill the a site and positions b and c may be occupied. Similarly, in a BB layer, positions a and cmay be occupied and in a CC layer positions a or bmay be occupied. The multiplicity of stacking types is greater in trigonal prism layer structures than in the octahedral types because of the existence of two possible cation sites in each layer. For example, in layer structures with octahedral packing of anions such as  $CdI_2$  only one octahedral cation site is available in each layer.

Trial structures for these compounds were obtained by constructing the possible combinations of layers and eliminating duplicates. Thus all possible combinations of trigonal prismatic two-layer packings give, with their symmetries:

(1) $AbA BaB$ ,	$P6_3/mmc$
(2) $AcA BcB$ ,	$P6_3/mmc$
(3) $AbA BcB$ ,	$P\overline{6}m2$
(4) $AcA BaB$ ,	$P\overline{6}m2$ .

Types 3 and 4 are equivalent, as can be shown by shifting the origin in 3 or 4, and so three different two-layer types are possible. Types 1 and 2 were recognized by Jellinek, Brauer & Muller (1960) and applied to known compounds. Type 1 is the C7 (MoS<sub>2</sub>) structure and type 2 the NbS<sub>2</sub> structure. There are no known representatives of type 3. By a similar process one finds two different three-layer types:

(1)	AbA	BcB	CaC,	R3m
(2)	AbA	BcB	CcC,	P3m1.

Type 1 has been recognized in the literature as rhombohedral  $MoS_2$  (Semiletov, 1960; Bell & Herfert, 1957; Traill, 1963) and  $NbS_2$  (Jellinek *et al.*, 1960). There are no known representatives of the three-layer type 2.

Seven different types can be derived from the four-layer *AABBAACC* assemblage and three more

from the AABBAABB arrangement which becomes four-layer by virtue of the cation arrangement. They are, with their space groups:

(1)	AbA	BaB	AbA	CaC,	$P\overline{6}m2$
(2)	AbA	BaB	AbA	CbC,	$P\overline{6}m2$
(3)	AbA	BcB	AbA	CaC,	$P\overline{6}m2$
(4)	AbA	BcB	AbA	CbC,	$P\overline{6}m2$
(5)	AcA	BcB	AcA	BaB,	$P\overline{6}m2$
(6)	AbA	BaB	AbA	BcB,	$P\overline{6}m2$
(7)	AbA	BaB	AcA	CaC,	P3m1
(8)	AbA	BcB	AcA	CaC,	P3m1
(9)	AbA	BcB	AcA	CbC,	P3m1
(10)	AbA	BaB	AcA	BcB,	$P\overline{3}m1$

None of these types have been reported to date in the literature but an  $NbSe_2$  polytype made in the course of this work exists as type 4 above.

Derivation of polytypes by actual construction is satisfactory if the number of layers is low as in the foregoing. It becomes tedious and error-prone as the number of possibilities rises. A paper is being prepared which will describe a systematic approach to delineation of geometrically distinguishable arrangements of any one type of layer packing.

# Some new two-, three-, and four-layer selenides of tantalum and niobium

Single crystals of excellent quality have been prepared in this laboratory by vapor transport methods (Nitsche, Bolsterli & Lichtensteiger, 1961) among the tantalum and niobium selenide layer structures. The crystal habit of the two-layer forms differs noticeably from the polytypes having more than two layers in all of the samples we have so far encountered. The two-layer forms tend to be thin hexagonal plates with prominent (0001) faces. The three- and four-layer forms all have more strongly developed prism, pyramidal, or rhombohedral faces, so that they form thicker plates than the two-layer varieties.

The two- and four-layer NbSe<sub>2</sub> samples have compositions close to the ideal, but the crystallization temperature of the two-layer form was significantly lower (ca. 750 °C) than that of the four-layer (ca. 950 °C). The three-layer form crystallized with a composition richer in niobium (or poorer in selenium) than the ideal NbSe<sub>2</sub>. A complete report on the growth

# Table 1. Cell dimensions of some two-, three-, and<br/>four-layer TaSe2 and NbSe2 polytypes

H = hexagonal, R = rhombohedral

Polytype	Space group	a (Å)	c (Å)
2H NbSe <sub>2</sub>	$P6_3/mmc$	$3 \cdot 45 \pm 0 \cdot 01$	$12 \cdot 54 \pm 0 \cdot 04$
$2H \operatorname{TaSe}_{2}$	$P6_3/mmc$	$3 \cdot 43 \pm 0 \cdot 01$	$12 \cdot 71 \pm 0 \cdot 04$
BR NbSe,*	R3m	$3.45 \pm 0.01$	$18.88 \pm 0.04$
H NbSe <sub>2</sub>	$P\overline{6}m2$	$3.44 \pm 0.01$	$25 \cdot 24 \pm 0 \cdot 04$
$H \operatorname{TaSe}_2^{\mathbb{Z}}$	$P6_3/mmc$	$3.46 \pm 0.01$	$25 \cdot 18 \pm 0 \cdot 04$

\* Hexagonal axes.

conditions of these forms is to be published separately (Revolinsky, to be published).

The X-ray data were derived principally from

precession photographs; Weissenberg photographs from four-layer NbSe<sub>2</sub> were made as well. Mo  $K\alpha$ radiation was used in all cases. The cell dimensions



Table 2. Comparison of observed and calculated intensities

Fig. 1. Sections through the origin parallel to  $(11\overline{2}0)$ . Anions are represented by the larger circles and cations by the smaller. A C 18-3

derived from precession photographs corrected for film shrinkage are listed in Table 1. The intensities were read from precession and Weissenberg films by visual means using a standard intensity scale for comparison. Lorentz and polarization corrections were done graphically for the precession photographs and analytically for the Weissenberg photographs with an IBM 704 computer. Absorption corrections were applied to the TaSe<sub>2</sub> intensities by the use of an effect noted by Takeuchi (1957). He has shown how precession photographs of plate-like crystals can be divided into areas containing surface reflection fields and transmission reflection fields. The  $h0\bar{h}l$  reflections from precession photographs for two- and four-layer TaSe<sub>2</sub> were split into transmission and reflection groups and a separate scale factor was assigned to each. The introduction of this device improved the reliability index from 27% to 14% for the two-layer type and from 20% to 14% for the four-layer type. Absorption

Table 3. Refined parameters of the two-, three-, and four-layer polytypes of NbSe<sub>2</sub> and TaSe<sub>2</sub>

Polytype	Atom	Space group set	x	y	z
$2H~{\rm NbSe}_2$	Nb Se	(b) (f)	0 \$	0 <del>2</del> 3	$0.116 \pm 0.001$
$2H~{\rm TaSe}_2$	Ta Se	$\begin{pmatrix} l \\ (f) \end{pmatrix}$	0 13	0 <del>3</del>	$0.118 \pm 0.001$
3R NbSe <sub>2</sub>	$egin{array}{c} \mathbf{Nb_1} \ \mathbf{Se_1} \ \mathbf{Se_2} \end{array}$	$(a) \\ (a) \\ (a)$	13 23 13 13	an 10 an	$0 \\ 0.088 \pm 0.003 \\ 0.243 \pm 0.003$
$4H~{ m NbSe_2}$	$f Nb_1\ Nb_2\ Nb_3\ Se_1\ Se_2\ Se_3\ Se_4$	$(a) \\ (d) \\ (g) \\ (h) \\ (i) \\ (i) \\ (g) \end{cases}$	0 1 0 1 8 8 0	0 3 0 3 1 3 3 0	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ 0.250 \pm 0.001 \\ 0.066 \pm 0.002 \\ 0.185 \pm 0.004 \\ 0.318 \pm 0.004 \\ 0.432 \pm 0.002 \end{array}$
4H TaSe <sub>2</sub>	$\begin{array}{c}{\rm Ta_1}^*\\{\rm Ta_2}^\dagger\\{\rm Se_1}\\{\rm Se_2}\end{array}$	$(a) \\ (b) \\ (f) $	0 0 1 3 3	0 0 3 3 3	$0 \\ \frac{1}{4} \\ 0.065 \pm 0.001 \\ 0.184 \pm 0.001 \\ 0.001$
★ Octah	edral cati	on. $\dagger$	Trigo	nai pr	rism cation.

is low enough in NbSe<sub>2</sub> compounds so that adequate agreement was obtained without absorption corrections.

The refinements were done with the least-squares program of Busing & Levy (1959), using scattering factors from Thomas & Umeda (1957).  $F_o$  and  $F_c$ values are listed in Table 2, refined parameters are listed in Table 3, and bond distances in Table 4. A section through the origin parallel to  $(11\overline{2}0)$  (Fig. 1) illustrates the similarities and differences in the four structures. The reliability index for the three-layer NbSe<sub>2</sub> polymorph is not as satisfactory as for the two- and four-layer samples so the results reported here must be considered tentative.

#### Two-layer polytypes

Comparisons of calculated and observed intensities showed that NbSe<sub>2</sub> (R = 11.5%) and TaSe<sub>2</sub> (R = 14.3%)have the  $NbS_2$  structure (Jellinek, 1960) with the stacking sequence  $AcA BcB \dots$  and the space group  $P6_3/mmc$ . The cation environment in the NbS<sub>2</sub> structure differs from MoS<sub>2</sub> by virtue of the relation of a given cation to next nearest neighbors rather than near neighbors, and therefore differences in the two structures involve relatively large distances. This is illustrated by calculating some bond distance for structures of both types, choosing the cell dimensions of MoS<sub>2</sub> (a=3.15, c=12.30 Å) and letting the z parameter  $=\frac{1}{8}$ . Then the cation-nearest neighbor anion distance is 2.4 Å, and a cation-next neighbor anion distance is, for the MoS<sub>2</sub> structure, 4.6 Å, and for the NbS<sub>2</sub> structure, 5.0 Å. In addition, the cations in a given layer of the MoS<sub>2</sub> structure are not completely screened from the next nearest neighbor anions by nearest neighbor anions while such screening in the  $NbS_2$  structure is complete. The other possible two-layer structure, the  $P\overline{6}m2 \ AbA \ BcB$  type (no known examples), has two types of cation sites. The 'b' cations are in an environment similar to Mo in MoS<sub>2</sub> and the 'c' cations are in an environment similar to Nb in NbS<sub>2</sub>. Mixing of cations may tend to stabilize such a structure.

Table 4. Interatomic distances for the two-, three-, and four-layer polytypes

		Se-Se		M-Se
	Between	In layer parallel	In layer perpendicular	Trigonal
Polytype	layers	to z	to $z$	prism
2H NbSe <sub>2</sub>	$3.52_5 \pm 0.02$	$3 \cdot 36 \pm 0 \cdot 02$	<b>3</b> · <b>4</b> 5*	$2.60 \pm 0.01$
2H TaSe,	$3.59 \pm 0.02$	$3 \cdot 35 \pm 0 \cdot 02$	3.43*	$2.59 \pm 0.01$
3R NbSe <sub>2</sub>	$3.54 \pm 0.08$	$3 \cdot 32 \pm 0 \cdot 08$	3.45*	$2{\cdot}59_5\pm0{\cdot}06$
4H NbSe <sub>2</sub>	$3.60 \pm 0.13$	$3\cdot 34\pm 0\cdot 15$	3.44*	$2.59 \pm 0.08$
-	$3.50 \pm 0.11$	$3 \cdot 36 \pm 0 \cdot 14$		$2.62 \pm 0.08$
		$3 \cdot 44 \pm 0 \cdot 10$		$2.58 \pm 0.08$
				$2.62 \pm 0.08$
	Av. $3.55 \pm 0.08$	Av. $3 \cdot 38 \pm 0.08$	А	v. $2.60 \pm 0.04$
$4H~{\rm TaSe}_2$	$3.60 \pm 0.03$	$3.32 \pm 0.05 \\ 3.84 \pm 0.05 \dagger$	<b>3</b> ∙ <b>4</b> 5*	$\begin{array}{ccc} 2{\cdot}60 & \pm \ 0{\cdot}02 \\ 2{\cdot}58 & \pm \ 0{\cdot}02\dagger \end{array}$

\* Distances by direct measurement. † Octahedral coordination.

As is evident from this and other work the  $AbA \ BaB$ structure is the form encountered in the disulfides and diselenides of the group 6B elements molybdenum and tungsten, while the  $AcA \ BcB$  is the two-layer form encountered most frequently among the disulfides and diselenides of the group 5B elements, niobium and tantalum.

#### A three-layer polytype

The systematic absences on the precession photographs of the three-laver NbSe<sub>2</sub> can be interpreted satisfactorily on the basis of a rhombohedral space lattice. There is one possible three-layer rhombohedral trigonal prism structure, the rhombohedral MoS<sub>2</sub> structure (Semiletov, 1961; Bell & Herfert, 1957). Refinement with this model fails to give a better agreement to date than 28% on 25  $h\bar{0}hl$  reflections. However, in the refinement process the atomic shifts were similar in direction and amount to atomic shifts in the two- and four-layer structures in which agreement is good. This variety has an apparent niobium excess, allowing a formula as high in niobium as  $Nb_{1.16}Se_2$  to be calculated from our sample analyses. It is probable, as suggested by Jellinek et al. (1960), for NbS<sub>2</sub>, that the extra niobium is accommodated in interlayer sites. Neither a Fourier nor a difference Fourier map showed any excess of scattering matter in the inter-layer sites, but the amount of niobium to be detected was of the order of the experimental error in the maps. More accurate data will be required to define this point. It is likely that the model is essentially correct and the main reason for poor agreement arises from the difficulty of getting good intensity measurements from these crystals which were invariably twinned. In space group R3m it is likely that the threefold axis is the twin axis or the (0001) plane is the twin plane. Either type explains the observed distribution of reflections. On point rows from an  $h0\bar{h}l$  (hexagonal indexing) precession photograph where  $h \neq 3n$  the absolute value of the l index increases in the sequence 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8 etc. with l=3n absent. Were there no twinning, the sequence would be 1, 4, 7, etc. on one side of the photograph and 3, 5, 8, etc. on the other, following the requirement -h+k+l=3n, but twinning mixes the two sets on both sides of the film. Observation of the triangular growth steps on the (0001) faces of a few crystals shows that twinning about the threefold axis is present. Twinning across the (0001) plane may or may not be present. Both types can be explained structurally as the result of a change in stacking order. The sequence AbA BcB CaC BaB AcA CbC is twinned on the unique axis and the sequence AbA BcB CaCBcB AbA CaC is twinned with (0001) the twin plane.

#### A four-layer NbSe<sub>2</sub> structure

The reflection  $10\overline{1}2$  from these crystals is strong, and so a likely model from the list of theoretical four-layer trigonal prism stacking types was picked on the basis of a strong calculated  $10\overline{12}$  reflection. This model, the AbA BcB AbA CbC type, refined to 14.4% using 46 reflections of type  $h0\overline{h}l$ . This structure and the three-layer structure are both non-centric types. However, in the four-layer type both cations and anions are in an uncentered arrangement whereas in the rhombohedral three-layer modification the cations and anions considered separately are in a centered arrangement but the structure as a whole is non-centric.

### A four-layer TaSe<sub>2</sub> structure

The intensities from a sharp, high quality, precession photograph from an exceptionally fine crystal of a four-layer TaSe<sub>2</sub> failed to agree with the calculated intensities from any of the ten possible four-layer trigonal prism types. A Patterson projection prepared from  $h0\bar{h}l$  reflections indicated that all of the Ta-Ta vectors were parallel to the c axis, a condition not met in any of the four-layer trigonal prism stacking types. Experimentation with alternate layers of trigonal prism and octahedral coordination led to the conclusion that only one four-layer scheme of this type exists in which the superposition of cations along c is possible. This packing arrangement is as follows: AcB AcA BcA BcB .... The arrangement has the space group  $P6_3/mmc$  along with MoS<sub>2</sub> and the twolayer structures discussed earlier. With the use of 38 reflections of type  $h0\bar{h}l$ , this model refined to 14.3%.

#### Discussion

Certain generalizations can be made concerning the direction and amount of the change in position in the selenium atoms between the original model and the refined structure. In a two-layer sequence with cation and anion sheets at equal intervals along c, and the origin midway between anion sheets, the z coordinates of the anions are multiples of  $\frac{1}{8}$ . Similarly in the three- and four-layer structures the z coordinates are multiples of  $\frac{1}{12}$  and  $\frac{1}{16}$  respectively. The use of such z coordinates places the sheets of anions too close to the interleaving cation sheet. During refinement the anion sheets always moved away from their interleaving cations. As can be seen from the data in Table 5 this shift is about 0.11 Å in the NbSe<sub>2</sub> layer compounds and about 0.09 Å in the TaSe<sub>2</sub> compounds. The adjustments in other trigonal prism layer structures are in the same direction and are: for MoS<sub>2</sub> (Jellinck, 1963), 0.06 Å; for MoTe<sub>2</sub> (Puotinen & Newnham, 1961), 0.125 Å; and for NbS<sub>2</sub> (Jellinek, 1963), 0.08 Å.

There appears to be a tendency in the two- and four-layer structures for the cations to align themselves so that they superpose when projected onto the (0001) plane. Maximum line-up is not found in the observed three-layer structure. The more symmetrical rhombohedral form is adopted rather than the lower symmetry (P3m1) form in which two out of three cations are aligned. This may be due to the influence of interlayer niobium as suggested by Jellinek *et al.* (1960) for NbS<sub>2</sub>. In the four-layer NbSe<sub>2</sub> structure a perfect

Table 5. Selenium atom adjustment from initial z parameters at  $\frac{1}{8}$  (two-layer),  $\frac{1}{12}$  (three-layer), and  $\frac{1}{16}$  (four-layer), during refinement

		(fractional	<i>.</i> <b>1</b> .	
Polytype	Atom	coordinates)	z(A)	Average
$2H \ \mathrm{NbSe_2}$	Se(1)	0.009	0.11	0.11
$2H~\mathrm{TaSe_2}$	Se(1)	0.007	0.09	0.09
3R NbSe <sub>2</sub>	Se(1) Se(2)	0·005 0·007	$0.09 \\ 0.13$	0.11
$4H \ { m NbSe}_2$	$egin{array}{c} { m Se}(1) \ { m Se}(2) \ { m Se}(3) \ { m Se}(4) \end{array}$	0.004 0.002 0.005 0.006	$0.10 \\ 0.05 \\ 0.13 \\ 0.15$	0.11
$4H~{\rm TaSe_2}$	Se(1)* Se(2)†	$0.002_{5} \\ 0.003_{5}$	0·06 0·09	0.09
*	Octahedron.	† Trigona	l prism.	

overlap is not found either, but the *bbbc* cation arrangement found is as close an approximation to such a line-up as is possible for the *AABBAACC* anion stacking type. Two other theoretical four-layer arrangements, (2) and (5), give equally good line-up. The cation superposition is complete in the four-layer TaSe<sub>2</sub> as this is possible with the adoption of an alternating trigonal prism, octahedral coordination sequence. There are 18 geometrically possible fourlayer, alternating trigonal prism, octahedral coordination sequences, but only the 4H TaSe<sub>2</sub> type has complete cation alignment.

As one would expect, the bond distances between near neighbors do not change significantly because of polytypic differences. Table 4 catalogues the near neighbor bond distances for the five polytypes under discussion.

In 4H TaSe<sub>2</sub> it is noted that the volumes of the trigonal prism coordination unit and an octahedral coordination unit are nearly equal. The volume of a single trigonal prism unit is  $17\cdot 2$  Å<sup>3</sup> and the volume of an octahedral unit is  $17\cdot 0$  Å<sup>3</sup>. The energy differences between octahedral and trigonal prism coordinations must be small as well, so that the alternate juxtaposition of each coordination forms a more stable

structure than one having purely trigonal prism or octahedral coordination.

The interbond angles in NbSe<sub>2</sub> and TaSe<sub>2</sub> compounds closely follow theoretical  $d^{4sp}$  hybrid bond angles (81° 47', 81° 47', 135° 35'; Hultgren, 1932). However, the bond angles in Nb and Ta trigonal prisms apparently have consistent small differences from molybdenum trigonal prisms. The comparison in Table 6 illustrates that prisms containing niobium and tantalum tend to be shortened along c and lengthened along a when compared to the prisms containing molybdenum. The c/a ratios are significant in this regard and so molybdenum and tungsten structures whose exact atomic parameters have not been measured are given here also.

Table 6. Comparison of X-M-X bond angles and c/a ratios from molybdenum and tungsten and from niobium and tantalum layer structures

	X–X Parallel to z	${\rm X-X}$ Perpendicular to z	$\frac{1}{2}(c/a)$
MoS,	82° 30'	81° 30'	1.945
MoSe,			1.96
MoTe	83° 30′	80° 24'	1.98
WS,			1.96
WSe.			1.97
NbS	79° 00′	84° 10′	1.796
NbSe.	80° 10′	82° 50'	1.82
TaS.			1.825
TaSe,	80° 20'	82° 46′	1.85

#### References

- BELL, R. E. & HERFERT, R. (1957). J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 79, 3351.
- BUSING, W. & LEVY, H. A. (1959). Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Central Files, No. 59-4-37.
- JAMES, P. B. & LAVIK, M. T. (1963). Acta Cryst. 16, 1183. Hultgren, R. (1932). Phys. Rev. 40, 891.
- GLEMSER, O., SAUER, H. & KÖNIG, P. (1948). Z. Anorg. Chem. 257, 241.
- JELLINEK, F., BRAUER, G. & MULLER, H. (1960). Nature, Lond. 185, 346.
- JELLINEK, F. (1963). Ark. Kem. 20, 447.
- NITSCHE, R., BOLSTERLI, H. U. & LICHTENSTEIGER, M. (1961). J. Phys. Chem. Solids, 21, 199.
- PUOTINEN, D. & NEWNHAM, R. E. (1961). Acta Cryst. 14, 691.
- SEMILETOV, S. A. (1962) Soviet Phys. Cryst. 6, 428.
- TAKEUCHI, Y. (1957). Mineral. J. (Japan), 2, 162.
- THOMAS, L. H. & UMEDA, K. (1957). J. Chem. Phys. 26, 293.
- TRAILL, R. J. (1963). Canad. Min. 7, 524.